DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 436 285 PS 028 136

AUTHOR Shorr, David; Wallace, Randall; Gann, Cory

TITLE Children's Identification of Self-Reflective Emotions as

Likely Responses to Moral and Competence Domain Successes

and Failures.

PUB DATE 1999-04-00

NOTE 11p.; Paper presented at the Biennial Meeting of the Society

for Research in Child Development (Albuquerque, NM, April

15-18, 1999).

PUB TYPE Reports - Research (143) -- Speeches/Meeting Papers (150)

EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS Age Differences; Attribution Theory; *Children; Comparative

Analysis; *Competence; Elementary Education; *Emotional

Development; *Guilt; *Helping Relationship; Moral

Development; Parochial Schools; Public Schools; Theories

IDENTIFIERS Domain Specific Thinking; *Emotions; *Pride

ABSTRACT

While research on prosocial behavior has dramatically increased during the last 20 years, there are few studies examining the role of self-evaluative emotions such as pride and guilt as consequences of and motivations for helping others. This study examined how children attribute pride and guilt for actions germane to the moral or competence domain. Participating were 95 five- to nine-year-olds from a public and a Catholic parochial school. The children were individually presented a story in which a protagonist experienced two successes, one in a moral domain and the other in a competence domain, or two failures. A foil event was presented that was congruent with an emotion opposite the one appropriate for the purposeful successes/failures. Children were asked how the protagonist felt about himself/herself and what made the protagonist feel that way. Loglinear analyses were performed with the following factors: protagonist outcome (success versus failure), child age (below versus above 7 years), and school (public versus parochial). Dependent variables were appropriate emotional identification and moral domain identification. The findings indicated that older children were more likely than younger ones to identify the appropriate emotion and identify the moral domain. The appropriate emotion was more likely to be identified following the protagonist's failures versus successes. The moral domain was more likely to be identified following the protagonist's failures than successes. Parochial school children tended to perform at a more sophisticated level in identifying an emotion appropriate for failure in a moral domain, and less sophisticated in identifying emotion appropriate for success in a moral domain. (KB)



E	U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION Office of Educational Research and Improvement DUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC) This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.
_	Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.
0	Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy.

Children's identification of self-reflective emotions as likely responses to moral and competence domain successes and failures

David Shorr

Randall Wallace

1

Central Washington University

St. Patrick School

Cory Gann

Central Washington University

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS REEN GRANTED BY

David Shorr

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

A poster session presented at the biennial meeting of the Society for Research in Child

Development (1999, April), Albuquerque, NM.



Children's identification of self-reflective emotions as likely responses to moral and competence domain successes and failures

David Shorr, Randall Wallace and Cory Gann

The research described here was undertaken as part of an effort to further understand how children view self-evaluative emotions. The specific emotions studied were pride and guilt. Both emotions are defined respectively as positive and negative states resulting from the consideration of one's own specific behavior relative to internalized standards for such behavior (see, e.g., Lewis, 1995). In particular, this study was conducted to ascertain differences in children's attribution of pride and guilt for actions germane to moral or competence domains. Previous research and speculation (e.g., Harter & Whitesell, 1989; Stipek, Recchia, & McClintic, 1992) suggests that children under eight years of age tend to perceive pride as resulting from personal, competence domain successes (e.g., an "A" grade on a test) and guilt as resulting from personal, moral domain failures (e.g., stealing). That is, the two contrasting self-evaluative emotions do not appear to apply to the same behavioral domains for young children. The moral domain behavior targeted in the present research was that of helping vs. not helping another. While research on prosocial behavior has dramatically increased during the last two decades, studies specifically targeting the role of self-evaluative emotions as both consequences of and motivations for acts of helping others are sparse (see, however, Shorr, 1993; Shorr & McClelland, 1998). It is hoped that the results of the proposed research will provide a better understanding of how such emotions are viewed by children of varying ages.

Shorr, D., Wallace, R., and Gann, C. (1999, April). Children's identification of self-reflective emotions as likely responses to moral and competence domain successes and failures. Poster session presented at the biennial meeting of the Society for Research in Child Development, Albuquerque, NM. Inquiries should be directed to David N. Shorr (shorrd@cwu.edu).



Procedure

Ninety-nine 5- to 9-year-olds from a public (n = 51) and a Catholic parochial (n = 48) school were individually presented a story in which a protagonist experienced two successes, one in a moral domain (intentionally helping a needy child) and one in a competence domain (aptly performing an athletic task), or two failures (intentionally not helping and inapt performance). Line drawings depicting the sequence of events in the story were provided. The sequences of the success/failure events were randomly determined for each child and a foil event was present. This foil, a fortuitous event, was congruent with an emotion opposite that appropriate for the purposeful successes/failures (see Tables 1 & 2 for example stories). The protagonist in each story was the same gender as the child. The key questions asked each child following a story were, "How do you think [protagonist] was feeling about himself/herself?" and "What was it that made [protagonist] feel this way?" Responses to the former were scored as identifying or not identifying the appropriate emotion for the protagonist ("appropriate emotion identification"). A second dependent variable required that a child both identify the appropriate emotion and its cause as the moral domain behavior ("moral domain identification").

Hypotheses

Obvious hypotheses were that appropriate emotion identification and moral domain identification would increase with age. A third hypothesis was that children would more readily make appropriate emotion identifications following a protagonist's failures than following a protagonist's successes. This hypothesis was partly based on a belief that young children internalize admonishment for specific failures more so than praise for specific successes. This follows from the authors' belief that adult criticism of children tends to be more event targeted

Shorr, D., Wallace, R., and Gann, C. (1999, April). Children's identification of self-reflective emotions as likely responses to moral and competence domain successes and failures. Poster session presented at the biennial meeting of the Society for Research in Child Development, Albuquerque, NM. Inquiries should be directed to David N. Shorr (shorrd@cwu.edu).



than does praise (e.g., "You should have cleaned your room this morning" vs. "you are a good helper."). A fourth hypothesis was that moral domain identifications would more readily occur for stories involving failures than those involving successes. This hypothesis was partly derived from Harter and Whitesell's (1989) research in which they reported a trend for children to provide moral transgressions as examples of "shame" inducing events while providing personal competence demonstrations as examples of "pride" inducing events (also see discussion by Stipek, Recchia, & McClintic, 1992). The inclusion of both public and parochial school students allowed for a comparison of children who had likely experienced subtle but pervasive differences in the socialization of self-reflective emotions, particularly in regards to moral behavior. Tentative fifth and sixth hypotheses were that the parochial school children would more readily make appropriate emotion identifications and moral domain identifications.

Results an Discussion

After completing reliability (perfect agreement between two scorers for 16 protocols) and other preliminary analyses, two Loglinear analyses were performed. Factors in both were Protagonist's Outcomes (successes vs. failures), Child's Age (below vs. above 7; 0 years, based on median split), and School (public vs. parochial). The dependent variables were appropriate emotion identification and moral domain identification.

The two analyses provided significant (χ^2 ps < .05) or marginally significant (χ^2 ps < .10) confirmation for all but the hypotheses regarding parochial and public school differences (see Table 3). However, the Protagonist's Outcomes x School interaction was marginally significant for appropriate emotion identification, Loglinear $\chi^2(1, N = 99) = 3.67$, p < .10, and highly significant for moral domain identification, Loglinear $\chi^2(1, N = 99) = 7.18$, p < .01. These



effects were due to the predictions made in the third and fourth hypotheses occurring to a greater extent for (appropriate emotion identification) or exclusively in (moral domain identification) the parochial school children (see Table 4). No other effect in the Loglinear analyses approached statistical significance (χ^2 ps \geq .40).

The interaction effects noted above suggest that the parochial school children tended to perform at a more sophisticated level in identifying an emotion appropriate for a failure in a moral domain (i.e., guilt) while performing at a less sophisticated level in identifying an emotion appropriate for success in a moral domain (i.e., pride). This unanticipated finding should at most be an impetus for further, confirming research. That is to say, at this time the authors are hesitant to suggest any of a number of explanations for the finding.



References

Harter, S., & Whitesell, N. R. (1989). Developmental changes in children's understanding of single, multiple, and blended emotion concepts. In C. Saarni & P. L. Harris (Eds.), <u>Children's understanding of emotion</u> (pp. 81-116). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Shorr D. N. (1993). Children's perceptions of others' kindness in helping: The endocentric motivations of pride and guilt. <u>Journal of Genetic Psychology</u>, 154, 363-374.

Shorr D. N. & McClelland, S. E. (1998). Children's recognition of pride and guilt as consequences of helping and not helping. Child Study Journal, 28, 123-136.

Stipek, D., Recchia, S., & McClintic, S. (1992). Self-evaluation in young children. Monographs of the Society for Research in Child Development, 57(1, Serial No. 226).



Table 1

Example Event Successes Story

Story	Description
Jane was on her way to the park to see if she could do a	Story Type: Female (as opposed
gymnastic trick, a cartwheel, that she had been practicing	to Male) Protagonist
and had seen other children do. She was in a hurry	Experiencing Event Successes
because she also wanted to watch her favorite television	(as opposed to Failures).
show later. When Jane finally got to the park she did the	
cartwheel perfectly. She then began to hurry home. On	¹ Sequence of these two events
the way home Jane saw a young child who needed help	randomly determined for each
carrying a broken wagon. Although Jane was in a hurry,	child.
she stopped and helped the young child. Jane then	
started home again. On the way she tripped over a rock	
and scrapped her knee. ² Later on she was just sitting and	² In "Event Failures" stories
thinking about herself and her day.	protagonist fortuitously finds a
	lost jacket.

Subsequent Questions:

- 1) How do you think Jane was feeling about herself (i.e., reflexive pronoun said with emphasis)?
- 2) What was it that made Jane feel this way?



<u>Table 2</u>
<u>Example Event Failures Story</u>

Story	Description
Jim was on his way to the park to see if he could do a	Story Type: Male (as opposed to
gymnastic trick, a cartwheel, that he had been practicing	Female) Protagonist
and had seen other children do. He was in a hurry	Experiencing Event Failures
because he also wanted to watch his favorite television	(as opposed to Successes).
show later. On the way to the park Jim saw a young	
child who needed help carrying a broken wagon.	
Because Jim was in a hurry, he didn't stop to help the	
young child. I Jim just kept hurrying to the park. When	¹ Sequence of these two events
Jim finally got to the park he didn't the cartwheel very	randomly determined for each
well. He then began to hurry home. On the way he	child.
found his favorite jacket that he had lost. ² Later on he	² In "Event Successes" stories
was just sitting and thinking about himself and his day.	protagonist accidentally trips
	over a rock and scraps a knee.

Subsequent Questions:

- 1) How do you think Jim was feeling about <u>himself</u> (i.e., reflexive pronoun said with emphasis)?
- 2) What was it that made Jim feel this way?



Table 3

Confirmed/Marginally Confirmed Hypotheses

(also see Results and Discussion section)

Hypothesis

Proportion of Children Making

Appropriate Emotion Identification

1. Older children appropriate emotion identification > younger Children $(\chi^2(1, N = 99) = 3.09, p < .10)$

Younger Children	.70
Older Children	.49

Moral Domain Identification

2. Older children moral domain identification > younger Children $(\chi^2(1, N = 99) = 5.85, p < .05)$

Younger Children	.58
Older Children	.33

Appropriate Emotion Identification

3. Appropriate emotion identification following protagonist's failures > following protagonist successes $(\chi^2(1, N = 99) = 8.93, p < .01)$

Fol. Prot. Failures	.76
Fol. Prot. Successes	.44

Moral Domain Identification

4. Moral domain identification
following protagonist's failures >
following protagonist's successes
$(\gamma^2(1, N = 99) = 2.84, p < .10)$

Fol. Prot. Failures	.55
Fol. Prot. Successes	.36



<u>Table 4</u> <u>Proportion of Children Making Appropriate Emotion and Moral Domain Identifications by</u> <u>Protagonist's Outcome and School</u>

(also see Results and Discussion section)

		School			
	Public	Public Public		Parochial Parochial	
Protagonist's Outcome	Appropriate Emotion Identification	Moral Domain Identification	Appropriate Emotion Identification	Moral Domain Identification	
Successes Failures	.58 .70	.46 .41	.31	.73	





U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI)
Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)



REPRODUCTION RELEASE

(Specific Document)

I. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION:

Children's identification of self-reflective responeses to moral and competence domain suc			
Author(s): David Shorr, Randall Wallace and Cory Gann			
Corporate Source	Publication Date:		
Central Washington University	April, 1999		

II. REPRODUCTION RELEASE:

In order to disseminate as widely as possible timely and significant materials of interest to the educational community, documents announced in the monthly abstract journal of the ERIC system, *Resources in Education* (RIE), are usually made available to users in microfiche, reproduced paper copy, and electronic/optical media, and sold through the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS) or other ERIC vendors. Credit is given to the source of each document, and, if reproduction release is granted, one of the following notices is affixed to the document.

If permission is granted to reproduce the identified document, please CHECK ONE of the following options and sign the release below.

	Sample sticker to be alfixed to document	Sample sticker to be affixed to document	
Check here Permitting microfiche (4"x 6" film), paper copy, electronic, and optical media reproduction	"PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY Somple TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)."	"PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS MATERIAL IN OTHER THAN PAPER COPY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY	Permitting reproduction in other than paper copy.
•	Level 1	Level 2	

Sign Here, Please

Documents will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality permits. If permission to reproduce is granted, but neither box is checked, documents will be processed at Level 1.

"I hereby grant to the Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC) nonexclusive permission to reproduce this document as indicated above. Reproduction from the ERIC microfiche or electronic/optical media by persons other than ERIC employees and its system contractors requires permission from the copyright holder. Exception is made for non-profit reproduction by libraries and other service agencies to satisfy information needs of educators in response to discrete inquiries."				
Signature: David Khon	Position: Professor of Education			
Printed Name: David Shorr	Organization: Central Washington University			
Address: Teacher Ed. Programs Central Washington University	Telephone Number: (509) 963-1357			
Ellensburg, WA 98926-7409	Date: / November '99			

ERIC

(SRCD 1999)

III. DOCUMENT AVAILABILITY INFORMATION (FROM NON-ERIC SOURCE):

If permission to reproduce is not granted to ERIC, or, if you wish ERIC to cite the availability of this document from another source, please provide the following information regarding the availability of the document. (ERIC will not announce a document unless it is publicly available, and a dependable source can be specified. Contributors should also be aware that ERIC selection criteria are significantly more stringent for documents which cannot be made available through EDRS).

Address:		
Price Per Copy:	Quantity Price:	
. REFERRAL OF ERIC	TO COPYRIGHT/REPRODUCTION RIG	HTS HOLDER:
If the right to grant reproduction name and address:	on release is held by someone other than the addressee, pleas	e provide the appropriate
ame and address of current copyright/r	eproduction rights holder:	
ame:		
Address:		

V. WHERE TO SEND THIS FORM:

Send this form to the following ERIC Clearinghouse:

Publisher/Distributor:

ACQUISITIONS ERIC/EECE 805 W. PENNSYLVANIA AVE. URBANA, IL 61801

If you are making an unsolicited contribution to ERIC, you may return this form (and the document being contributed) to:

